

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,667	HELENOWSKI, JACEK	
	Examiner Jason M. Han	Art Unit 2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) P.E. Stephen Husar. (3) Jason M. Han.
 (2) Susan Luksaik. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,12-15 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached with regard to Claims 4 and 21 concerning the withdrawal of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd Paragraph. Applicant further agreed to amend the claims to better place the case in condition for allowance, whereby the examiner, upon receipt, would conduct a new prior art search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required